

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,488	GREENWOOD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677	

All Participants:

(1) Katherine W. Mitchell.

(2) David Vickrey.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
no

Claims discussed:
no

Prior art documents discussed:
 JP translation -JP2001-3047

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner called several times and learned applicant is out of town. Examiner received the translated document above from applicant, and called to see if there was something examiner missed, as it appeared to read on the claims. Applicant is to be commended for providing this translation, and examiner was willing to consider a proactive argument as to why it did not anticipate the claims if that would speed resolution of the case. Since this was not possible, examiner is sending an action on the merits. .